

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/422,593	10/21/1999	LEONARD CORNING LAHEY	B09-99-028	5731	
75	590 03/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP			BOYCE, ANDRE D		
315 S. BEVERI # 210	LY DR.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212				
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/422,593	LAHEY ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
· · ·	Andre Boyce	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n th cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE PLACE TO THE PLACE TO THE PLACE TO PLA	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	o a n in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Office.	iate extension ice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	·		
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpl	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 7-9,12,20-22,25,33-35 and 38.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,10,11,16,23,24,29,36 and 37</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,13-15,17-19,26-28,30-32 a</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		<u></u>	
0. ☐ Other:		2	
<u> </u>		TARIO R. HAPIZ VISORY PATENT EXAMIN	IÈR
	Super\ Tech	HNOLOGY CENTER 3600)

√Ć ntinuati n Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of at least the following. With respect to independent claims 1, 14, and 27 Applicant maintains that Yosefi does not disclose customer preferences. The Examiner disagrees and resubmits that page size is indeed a customer preference. Further, deadline date is also a customer preference, if not a requirement. In addition, the artwork design and production system of Yosefi (figure 3) includes the pre-press artwork of figure 1 (column 6, lines 45-48), which is the customer product. The customer record stored in database 121 includes a title or job number which is the pre-press artwork job (i.e., customer product) to be completed. Lastly, the output data files 127 are stored in the record of the job ticket database (column 9, lines 16-18) and the artwork designer is indeed the "customer" of the production shop.

Applicant also maintains that Yosefi does not teach or suggest the operations of the first worker. The Examiner disagrees and submits that the workers in Yosefi are invoked when it is time to perform their respective operations listed in the workflow (column 8, lines 1-3). In Yosefi, generating output material from processing the product and customer preference fields in the customer record is an operation performed by the production shop workers via the artwork preparation devices.